

### **General Certificate of Education**

# **Statistics 6380**

SS04 Statistics unit 4

# **Mark Scheme**

2007 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

#### Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

M	mark is for method			
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method			
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy			
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy			
Е	mark is for explanation			
$\sqrt{\text{or ft or F}}$	follow through from previous			
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy	
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read	
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy	
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work	
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work	
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work	
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt	
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate	
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book	
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme	
–x EE	deduct x marks for each error	G	graph	
NMS	no method shown	c	candidate	
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)	
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)	

#### No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

### **SS04**

Q Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1(a)	$\overline{x} = 1023.3$ $s = 525.19$	В1		$1023.3(1020 \sim 1025)$ and $525.2(525 \sim 525.5)$
	95% confidence interval for mean $1023.3 \pm 2.306 \times \frac{525.19}{\sqrt{9}}$	B1 B1√ M1		8 df 2.306 – their df use of their s.d. $\frac{\sqrt{9}}{\sqrt{9}}$
	i.e. $1023.3 \pm 403.7$ (620, 1427)	m1 A1	6	method for interval $620(619 \sim 620)$ and $1427(1426.5 \sim 1427.5)$ or $1430$ or $1023.3(1020 \sim 1025)$ and
(b)	As 1250 lies within the confidence	Di		403.7(403~404)
(8)	interval, there is no reason to doubt the firm's claim.	B1 B1√	2	accept claim 1250 within interval
	Total		8	
2(a)	$H_0: \mu = 37.3$ $H_1: \mu \neq 37.3$ $\overline{x} = 45.1$ $s = 9.2039$	B1 B1		both hypotheses – must use $\mu$ or state 'population' 45.1 CAO and 9.20(9.19 ~ 9.21)
	$t = \frac{45.1 - 37.3}{9.2039}$	M1		$\frac{\text{use of their s.d.}}{\sqrt{10}}$
		m1 A1 B1 B1√		correct method for $t$ (ignore sign) 2.68(2.675 ~ 2.685) 9 df 2.262(2.26 ~ 2.262) (ignore sign)
	Reject H <sub>0</sub> : there is significant evidence that mean number of hours worked during the second week of December 2004, by females employed full-time	A1√ A1√	9	correct conclusion their figures, requires m1 and comparison with correct tail of <i>t</i> conclusion in context – requires
	by this store is not equal (greater than) to 37.3  SC confidence interval (38.52, 51.68) compare with 37.3  SC non-standardised c.v. (30.72, 43.88) compare with 45.1  SC <i>p</i> -values compare 0.0126 with 0.025 or 0.0252 with 0.05			previous A1√ and earlier m1
(b)	store likely to be busy before Christmas so staff may work extra hours	E1 E1	2	Christmas any reasonable explanation e.g. busy/longer opening hours
	Total		11	

SS04 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)	$H_0: p = 0.2$ $H_1: p > 0.2$	В1		both hypotheses correct – allow $p = 0.8, p < 0.8$ – disallow $\hat{p}$
	$B(150,0.2) \rightarrow \text{normal}$ $mean 150 \times 0.2 = 30$	B1 B1 M1		B(150,0.2/0.8) – may be implied attempt at normal approx method for mean
	s.d. $\sqrt{150 \times 0.2 \times 0.8} = 0.48990$	M1		method for s.d./variance – allow use of $\hat{p}$
	s.u. $\sqrt{130 \times 0.2 \times 0.8} = 0.48990$ (variance = 24)	IVI I		inctifed for s.d./variance – allow use of p
	` '			
	$z = \frac{35.5 - 30}{4.899} = 1.12$	M1		method for $z$ – ignore sign and no or incorrect c.c. – disallow $\hat{p}$
		A1		$1.12(1.11 \sim 1.13)$ or $1.22(1.22 \sim 1.23)$ ,
				negative needed if $p = 0.8$ used
	c.v. = 1.6449	B1		1.6449 – ignore sign
	accept H <sub>0</sub>	A1√		needs m mark and comparison with correct tail of z
	no significant evidence to reject the credit companies claim	E1	10	In context – needs A1√ mark
	SC p-values compare 0.131 or 0.111 with 0.05 SC exact binomial compare 0.1317 with 0.05			allow max B1 B1 B1 B0 A1√ E1
(b)	$H_0: p = 0.2$ $H_1: p > 0.2$	B1		both hypotheses – don't penalise same mistake as in (a)
	B(11.0.2)	B1		B(11, 0.2/0.8)
	P(7  or more) = 1 - 0.9980	M1		attempt to calculate P(7 or more); generous <b>Note:</b> 1 – 0.9998 = 0.0002 is P(8 or more), and ∴ incorrect
	= 0.002	<b>A</b> 1		0.002(0.00195 ~ 0.002)
	0.002 < 0.01 reject H <sub>0</sub> : significant	A1√		needs correct method for P(7 or more)
	evidence to reject the credit companies	711 V		needs correct method for 1 (7 of more)
	claim. Conclude less than 80% of European hotels will accept the card	E1	6	in context – needs A1√ mark
	SC c.v. 6 or more (nearest to 1%)			only allow first 3 marks if
	7 or more (less than 1%)			approximations attempted
(c)	Small sample found evidence to reject claim but large sample didn't. This is very unlikely (but not impossible if	E1		apparent contradiction
	both tests valid) Sheila's sample	E1		possible but unlikely/Sheila's sample
	probably not random – probably			not random so second test not valid
	geographically localised and similar price ranges – so second test probably	E1		possible reason why non-random
	not valid.	E1	4	second reason
	Total		20	

SS04 (cont)

Q Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	$z = \frac{90 - 63}{18} = 1.5$	M1		method for $z$ – ignore sign
τ(α)	10			
	probability $> 90 = 1 - 0.93319$	m1	2	completely correct method
	= 0.0668	A1	3	$0.0668(0.0668 \sim 0.067)$
(b)(i)	total time is normal			
	$mean \underline{3 \times 63} = 189$	M1		method for mean
	s.d. $\sqrt{3 \times 18^2} = 31.177$	M1		method for s.d./variance
	(variance 972)			
(b)(ii)	$z = \frac{135 - 189}{31.177} = -1.732$	m1		method for $z$ – ignore sign
(-)(-)	31.177 probability < 135			
	= 0.9584 = 0.0416	ml Al	5	completely correct method $0.0416(0.0415 \sim 0.042)$
	0.5504 0.0410	711	3	0.0110(0.0113 0.012)
(a)	O O v normal	M1		attempt to find distribution of difference
(c)	$Q_3 - Q_7 \rightarrow \text{normal}$	IVI I		between 3 normal checkouts and 7 express checkouts
	mean $3 \times 63 - 7 \times 25 = 14$	M1		method for mean and s.d. of 7 at
		3.54		express checkout (may be implied)
		M1		method for mean $Q_3 - Q_7 / Q_7 - Q_3$ method for s.d./variance $Q_3 - Q_7$
	standard deviation $\sqrt{3 \times 18^2 + 7 \times 8^2}$	M1		their answer to (b)(i)
		m1		method for s.d./variance $Q_3 - Q_7$
	= 37.68 (variance = 1420)			
	$z = \frac{14 - 0}{1 - 10} = 0.3715$	_		
	$z = \frac{1}{37.68} = 0.3715$	m1		correct method – ignore sign of $z$
	probability time for 3 exceeds time for 7		_	0.645/0.642
	is 0.645	A1	7	$0.645(0.643 \sim 0.646)$
(d)	amount in baskets of queue members/	E1		sensible suggestion
(4)	attractiveness/speed/demeanour of			2
	checkout assistant/presence of noisy	D1	2	3.1.
	children in queue	E1	2	sensible suggestion
	Total		17	

SS04 (cont)

Q Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	95% confidence interval $136\pm1.96\sqrt{136}$ $136\pm22.86$ (113, 159)	B1 B1 M1 A1	4	1.96 s.d. $\sqrt{136}$ correct method – allow incorrect z 113(113~113.2) and 159(158.8~159) or 136 and 22.9(22.8~23)
(b)	$\hat{p} = \frac{22}{136} = 0.16176$ 99% confidence interval 0.83823	B1 B1		$\frac{22}{136} \text{ ACF}$ 2.5758
	$0.16176 \pm 2.5758 \times \sqrt{0.16176} \times \frac{0.83823}{136}$ $0.16176 \pm 0.08133$ (0.080, 0.243)	M1 M1 m1	6	use of $\hat{p} \pm z \times$ their s.d. method for s.d. completely correct method – allow incorrect $z$ $0.080(0.080 \sim 0.081)$ and
	(0.000, 0.213)	Al	O	$0.243(0.2425 \sim 0.2435)$ or $0.162(0.161 \sim 0.162)$ and $0.0813(0.081 \sim 0.0815)$
(c)	B(170,0.25) $\rightarrow$ normal mean 170×0.25 = 42.5 s.d. $\sqrt{170\times0.25\times0.75}$ = 5.6458 (variance 31.875)	B1 B1 M1		B(170,0.25) may be implied attempt at normal approx method for mean and s.d./variance
	$z = \frac{60.5 - 42.5}{5.6458} = 3.188$ probability > 60 is 1 – 0.99928 $= 0.0007$ SC exact binomial 0.00104 allow B1 B1 only	M1 m1 A1	6	method for $z$ – allow no or incorrect c.c. completely correct method $0.0007(0.00069 \sim 0.00074)$
(d)	Assumes more than average number of customers will enter bank (170 above c.i. in (a)) and more than average proportion of these will require a senior	E1		above <i>average</i> number of customers assumed/above <i>average</i> proportion require senior member of staff
	member of staff (0.25 above c.i. in (b)). Even on these assumptions there is a very small chance that insufficient senior staff will be available. Barnabas is being very cautious.	E1 E1	3	outside confidence interval  very small probability of insufficient senior staff being available/Barnabas very cautious
	Total		19	
	TOTAL		75	