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## Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

| M | mark is for method |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |  |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |  |

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.
Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

## Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS03

| Q | Solution |  |  |  |  |  | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ pop median/mean diff $\eta_{d}=0$ <br> $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ pop median/mean diff $\eta_{d} \neq 0$ <br> 2 tail $5 \%$ ( $d$ is after - before $)$ |  |  |  |  |  | B1 |  | Or fully explained in words - population implied, average resistance same/changed |
|  | diff | 3 | 7 | -2 | 5 | -1 | M1 |  | For differences (before - after) or (after - before); ignore signs |
|  | rank | 4 | 7 | $-2^{1 / 2}$ | 6 | -1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | rank | 5 |  |  |  |  | m1 |  | differences or sign ignored For ties used correctly |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{+}=3+7+\ldots+8=32^{1 / 2} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{-}=2^{1 / 2}+1=3^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | For total attempted For one correct total |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & n=8 \mathrm{cv}=4 \\ & \mathrm{~T}<4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | For cv <br> Comparison cv/ts if valid method seen allow cv one row/col out for M1 |
|  | Significant evidence at 5\% level to reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and conclude that the average resistance differs after the adjustment ( higher) |  |  |  |  |  | E1 | 9 | In context - only if ts/cv correct |
| (b)(i) | Wilcoxon signed rank test takes into account the magnitude of the differences not simply whether they are + or - |  |  |  |  |  | E1 | 1 |  |
| (ii) | When the data is not symmetrically distributed so Wilcoxon signed-rank cannot be carried out. <br> Or <br> Data given only as signs/preferences so only sign test possible - no numerical differences can be evaluated |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { E1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | Correct reasoning Explained well |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | 12 |  |
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## SS03 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ No association between survival and drug treatment used. <br> $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ Association exists between survival and drug treatment used. <br> 1 tail 5\% | B1 |  | E method <br> All correct (allow integers) |
|  | Steroid Placebo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \mathrm{m} 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Died 404.05 413.95 |  |  |  |
|  | Survived 656.95 673.05 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ts}=\sum \frac{(\|O-E\|-0.5)^{2}}{E}= \\ & \frac{7.55^{2}}{404.05}+\frac{7.55^{2}}{413.95}+\frac{7.55^{2}}{656.95}+\frac{7.55^{2}}{673.05} \end{aligned}$ $\begin{gathered} 0.141+\ldots \\ =0.450 \end{gathered}$ | M1 <br> m1 <br> A1 |  | ts correct denominators Attempt at Yates' correction: needs $\frac{(\ldots-1 / 2)^{2}}{\text { denom }}$ ts $=0.162+\ldots$ if no Yates $0.4 \sim 0.5$, so A0 for 0.1512 or 0.514 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cv} \quad \mathrm{df}=1 \quad 5 \% \quad \mathrm{cv}=3.841 \\ & \text { ts }<3.841 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Must have ts $>0$ <br> Or $p=0.0696$ |
|  | Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ <br> No sig evidence to suggest an association between survival and whether or not additional drug treatment is used. | A1 E1 | 10 |  |
|  | Total |  | 10 |  |
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## SS03 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (b)(ii) | Sig evidence to suggest an association <br> exists between drug used and level of <br> consciousness - patients given the new <br> drug are far less likely to be unconscious <br> 30 minutes after their operation was <br> completed (and vice versa) | E1 | E1 |  |

## SS03 (cont)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q \& Solution \& Marks \& Total \& Comments \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{4} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\mathrm{H}_{0}\) Samples are taken from identical populations \(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) Samples are not taken from identical populations - population average nicotine levels differ 5\% 1 tail \\
Ranks
\end{tabular} \& B1
B1 \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
or
\[
\mathrm{H}_{0} \quad \eta_{\text {VLow }}=\eta_{\text {Low }}=\eta_{\text {Noclaim }}
\] \\
\(\mathrm{H}_{1}\) at least two of \(\eta_{\text {VLow }}, \eta_{\text {Low }}, \eta_{\text {Noclaim }}\) do differ
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 \& 3 \& 6 \\
2 \& 7 \& 12 \\
4 \& 10 \& 14 \\
5 \& 11 \& 15 \\
8 \& 13 \& 16 \\
9 \& \&
\end{tabular} \& M1
m1 \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Ranks (either way) \\
At least 10 correct
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \&  \& m1
A1
m1

A1 \& \& Totals (of ranks) any one correct

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { test stat } \quad \begin{array}{c}
H=7.0 \sim 7.5 \\
\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}}-3(N+1)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline \& Critical value from $\chi_{2}^{2}=5.9915 \%$ $H>5.991$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B1 } \\
& \text { M1 }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& Comparison; needs ts >0 <br>

\hline \& Sig evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and conclude that samples are not from identical populations. \& A1 \& \& <br>
\hline \& Significant evidence at the $5 \%$ level to suggest that the population average nicotine level differs for the three categories of king-size cigarettes. It appears that those king-size cigarettes that have no claim made about tar levels have a significantly higher average nicotine level than those claimed to have 'Very Low Tar'. \& E1

E1 \& 13 \& | Difference in context |
| :--- |
| Mention of 'at least two' or a sig difference between nicotine levels of king-size cigarettes for which no claim made and those claimed to have 'Very Low Tar'. |
| Can award E1E0 if candidate accepts $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | <br>

\hline \& Total \& \& 13 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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## SS03 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(b) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{H}_{0} \eta=223 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{1} \eta<223 \quad 1 \text { tail } \quad 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | B1 |  | Or equivalent in words |
|  | Signs - + - - - - - - + | M1 |  | signs |
|  | $2^{+} / 7^{-}$ | A1 |  | test stat correct and identified |
|  | Binomial (9, 0.5) model | M1 |  | Binomial model used to attempt probability (or critical region) |
|  | $\mathrm{P}\left(\geq 7^{-}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\leq 2^{+}\right)=0.0898<0.10$ <br> for one tail test | M1 |  | Comparison of Binomial probability with 0.10 (or cr with ts) |
|  | Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | A1 |  |  |
|  | There is sufficient evidence, at the $10 \%$ level, to suggest that the median LDL level is greater for males aged 35 to 64 years living in the USA than that for those living in China. | E1 | 7 | Interpretation in context |
|  |  |  | 17 |  |
|  | TOTAL |  | 75 |  |

