Version 1.0



General Certificate of Education (A-level) June 2011

Statistics

SS02

(Specification 6380)

Statistics 2

Final



Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX.

Key to mark scheme abbreviations

| М | mark is for method |
|---------------------|--|
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |
| А | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |
| В | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for explanation |
| \sqrt{or} ft or F | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| CAO | correct answer only |
| CSO | correct solution only |
| AWFW | anything which falls within |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to |
| ACF | any correct form |
| AG | answer given |
| SC | special case |
| OE | or equivalent |
| A2,1 | 2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks |
| –x EE | deduct <i>x</i> marks for each error |
| NMS | no method shown |
| PI | possibly implied |
| SCA | substantially correct approach |
| с | candidate |
| sf | significant figure(s) |
| dp | decimal place(s) |

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

| Q | Solution | Mark | Total | Comments |
|-----------------|--|------------|-------|--|
| 1(a)(i) | On graph | B1 | | B1 accurate plot – by eye |
| | | B1 | 2 | B1 trend line – must be a line |
| | | | | |
| (ii) | Riz effect $(49.3 + 66.3 + 70.7)/3 = 62.1$ | M1 | | M1 attempt to find Riz deviations |
| | | | | from trend line or moving average |
| | | m1 | | m1 method, ignore sign |
| | | A1 | 3 | A1 62.1 (60 ~ 64) |
| <pre>/***</pre> | | D1 | | |
| (111) | 555 + 62.1 = 620 | BI | | B1 trend 555 (545 ~ 565) |
| | | MI | 2 | M1 'their' trend + 'their' seasonal effect |
| | | Al | 3 | A1 620 (605 ~ 630) |
| (h) | Week 18 trend line below 640 for | B 1 | | B1 week 18 |
| (0) | weeks 16 and 17 Attendances for Ed | DI | | DI WEEK 18 |
| | and Ja not likely to be above trend line | E1 | | E1 justification for any of weeks 16 17 |
| | Week 18 trend line about 600 and Riz | | | 18 |
| | likely to be more than 40 above trend | E1 | 3 | E1 full explanation |
| | line. | | | L |
| | Total | | 11 | |
| 2(a)(i) | $E(X) = 100 \times 0.22 + 200 \times 0.31 + 300 \times$ | M1 | | M1 method |
| | $0.21 + 400 \times 0.12 + 600 \times 0.14 = 279$ | A1 | | A1 279 CAO AG |
| | | | | |
| (ii) | $E(X^2) = 100^2 \times 0.22 + 200^2 \times 0.31 + 300^2$ | | | |
| | $\times 0.21 + 400^2 \times 0.12 + 600^2 \times 0.14$ | | | |
| | = 103100 | | | |
| | $V(X) = 103100 - 270^2 = 25250$ | M1 | | |
| | $V(X) = 103100 = 273^{\circ} = 23233^{\circ}$ | 1111 | | |
| | $s d = \sqrt{25259} = 158.9$ | A1 | 4 | B2 159 (158 5 ~ 159 5) or M1A1 |
| | 5. d . (2525) 156.) | | | |
| | | | | SC: allow B1 for variance = 25259 |
| | | | | |
| (b) | Standard deviation would increase as | B1 | | B1 increase |
| | distribution would be more spread out | E1 | 2 | E1 reason |
| <i>.</i> | | E. | | |
| (c) | Standard deviation would be less than for | B1 | | B1 less than X |
| | X. Nearly all cars have parked for free so | EI | 2 | El reason |
| | there is little variability in the | | | |
| | | | ρ | |
| | Total | | ð | |

| Q | Solu | tion | Mark | Total | Comments |
|---------------|---|--|----------|-------|--|
| 3 (a) | 3 509 000 | | B2,1 | 2 | B2 3 509 000 |
| | | | | | allow B1 for 3509 |
| (b) | males $< 1 + \text{females} < 1 = 471 + 466$ = 937 thousand total $< 1 = 938$ thousand | | | | |
| | This is consistent wi eg if 471 400 males females, total = 937 | th rounding error and 466 400 800 which rounds | E1 | | E1 no, |
| | to 938 thousand | | E1 | 2 | E1 could be due to rounding error |
| (c)(i) | c)(i) In each census there are more males than females under 1 enumerated. This suggests that the probability of a baby | | B1 | | B1 not supported |
| | being female is less The 'fact' is not sup | than 0.5. ported. | E1 | 2 | E1 explanation |
| | | | | | SC: allow B1 supported because proportion close to 0.5 |
| (ii) | In each census there females than males a | are many more aged 75 and over. | B1 | | B1 supported |
| | This supports the 'fa females live longer t | ict' that on average han males. | E1 | 2 | E1 explanation |
| (d)(i) | Males aged under 15 15–29 | Thousands 5689 5623 | M1 | | M1 reasonable attempt – allow wrong year, wrong units, wrong section |
| | <u> </u> | 6645 5534 2720 | A1 | | A1 three correct 3sf |
| | 60–74 over 74 | <u> </u> | A1 | 3 | A1 all correct 3sf |
| (ii) | On graph | | B1 | | B1 vertical axis correctly labelled starting at 0 |
| | | | B1 B1 | 3 | B1 accurately plotted points B1 vertical line starting at 0 |
| (iii) | (iii) Up to age 60 similar numbers in each age group except for 30–44 which has more than the others.After age 60 there are less in the groups as there will be more deaths in these groups. | | E1 | | E1 age groups similar |
| | | | | | E1 more in 30–44 |
| | | | E1 | 2 | or any sensible comment |
| (iv) | Purpose of diagram is to assist interpretation of data. Drawing a line diagram with unequal age groupings would make interpretation extremely difficult. | | E1 | 1 | E1 unequal age groups |
| | | Total | | 17 | |

| Q | Solution | Mark | Total | Comments |
|---------------|---|----------|-------|--|
| 4(a)(i) | P(0) = 0.301 | B1 | | B1 0.301 (0.3 ~ 0.3015) |
| (ii) | P(1) = 0.6626 - 0.3012 = 0.361 | M1 A1 | | M1 method A1 0.361 (0.36 ~ 0.362) |
| (iii) | P(5 or more) = 1 - 0.9923 = 0.0077 | M1 A1 | 5 | M1 method A1 0.0077 (0.0077 ~ 0.0078) |
| (b) | $\overline{x} = 1.2$ $s^2 = 2.436$ ($\sigma^2 = 2.382$) | B1 B1 | 2 | B1 1.2 CAO B1 2.44 (2.43 ~ 2.44) or 2.38 (2.37 ~ 2.39) |
| (c)(i) | proportion 0s observed = 0.489 not similar to 0.301 | M1 A1 | 2 | M1 attempt to compare observed proportion of 0s with probability calculated in (a)(i) A1 correct comparison |
| (ii) | Mean and variance of observed values not similar. | E1 | 1 | E1 reason – even if based on incorrect answers to (b) |
| (iii) | Most credit cards lost in the evening may be reported in first hour / cards lost over the weekend likely to be reported on Monday etc. | E1 E1 | 2 | E1 reference to valid property of Poisson distribution E1 plausible context |
| (d) | No. Since distribution appears not to be Poisson no evidence to support view | E1 | | E1 No |
| | that reports arrive at random times. | E1 | 2 | E1 not Poisson \rightarrow not random or explanation of why not random |
| | Total | | 14 | |

| Q | Solution | Mark | Total | Comments |
|--------|---|----------------|-------|---|
| 5(a) | H ₀ : $\mu = 700$ H ₁ : $\mu \neq 700$ | B1 | | B1 one correct hypothesis |
| | $\overline{x} = 699.6$ | B1 | | B1 699.6 CAO |
| | $z = (699.6 - 700)/(2.1/\sqrt{6}) = -0.467$ | M1 m1 A1 | | M1 use of $2.1/\sqrt{6}$ m1 method for <i>z</i> – ignore sign A1 –0.467 (-0.46 ~ -0.47) |
| | c.v. ± 1.96 | B1 | | B1 ± 1.96 – ignore sign |
| | Accept H_0 Conclude that there is no significant | A1√ A1 | | A1 \checkmark conclusion – allow even if contradicted later; also allow for valid comparison with +ve test statistic A1 in context – needs previous A1 \checkmark plus |
| | evidence to doubt that the mean is 700mm | | 8 | something additional to mean = 700; disallow for +ve test statistic |
| (b) | H ₀ : $\mu = 700$ H ₁ : $\mu \neq 700$ | B1 | | B1 both hypotheses correct – needs μ or 'population' |
| | $z = (701.34 - 700)/(2.1/\sqrt{40}) = 4.04$ | M1 A1 | | M1 method for z – ignore sign A1 4.04 (4 ~ 4.04) |
| | c.v. ± 1.96 | | | |
| | Reject H ₀ | A 1√ | | A1 \checkmark conclusion – allow even if contradicted later; also allow for valid comparison with + ve test statistic |
| | Conclude that there is significant evidence to conclude that the mean is not equal to (greater than) 700mm | A1 | 5 | A1 in context – needs previous A1 \checkmark plus something additional to mean \neq 700; disallow for +ve test statistic |
| (c)(i) | Neither. Risk of Type I error is 5% regardless of sample size (or zero if H_0 untrue). | B1 E1 | | B1 neither E1 explanation |
| (ii) | Larger sample would lead to a smaller risk of Type II error because s.d./ \sqrt{n} is smaller and so more likely to detect a deviation from 700 (or the same if H ₀ true). | B1 E1 | 4 | B1 sample of 40 E1 explanation – allow for anything which implies a correct definition of Type II error |
| | Total | | 17 | |

| Q | Solution | Mark | Total | Comments |
|--------------|---|-------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 6 (a) | Number pupils 000 to 307. | E1 | | E1 number pupils 000–307 |
| | Choose 3-digit random numbers from | E1 | | E1 choose 3-digit random numbers |
| | Table 13. Language > 207 and repeats | E1 | | E1 is 207 consistent with their |
| | Continue until 12 numbers obtained and | EI | | numbering |
| | choose corresponding pupils. | E1 | 4 | E1 ignore repeats |
| | | | | |
| (b) | Pupil numbered 000 will be selected if | | | |
| | 000, 308, 616 or 924 are selected – | E1 | | E1 different probabilities of being |
| | probability 0.004. | | | selected |
| | Pupil numbered 307 will be chosen if | | | |
| | probability 0.003 | | | |
| | Since probabilities not equal sample | E1 | 2 | E1 explanation |
| | cannot be random. | | | |
| | | | | |
| (c) | Pupil 000 would only be selected if, | | | |
| | was between 0.0 and 0.5 All other | E2 1 | 2 | E2.1 explanation |
| | pupils would have a range with double | 112,1 | 2 | |
| | the width, eg pupil 001 would be | | | |
| | selected if the answer was between 0.5 | | | |
| | and 1.5 | | | |
| | or Punil 000 has 2 chances 0 000 and | | | |
| | 0.001 | | | |
| | all others have 3 or 4 chances eg | | | |
| | Pupil 001 0.002/3/4 | | | |
| | Pupil 002 0.005/6/7/8 | | | |
| | Total | | 8 | |
| | TOTAL | | 75 | |