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## Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

| M | mark is for method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |  |  |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |  |  |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy |  |  |
| E | mark is for explanation |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ or ft or F | follow through from previous incorrect result | MC | mis-copy |
| CAO | correct answer only | MR | mis-read |
| CSO | correct solution only | RA | required accuracy |
| AWFW | anything which falls within | FW | further work |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to | ISW | ignore subsequent work |
| ACF | any correct form | FIW | from incorrect work |
| AG | answer given | BOD | given benefit of doubt |
| SC | special case | WR | work replaced by candidate |
| OE | or equivalent | FB | formulae book |
| A2,1 | 2 or 1 (or 0 ) accuracy marks | NOS | not on scheme |
| $-x \mathrm{EE}$ | deduct $x$ marks for each error | G | graph |
| NMS | no method shown | c | candidate |
| PI | possibly implied | sf | significant figure(s) |
| SCA | substantially correct approach | dp | decimal place(s) |

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.
Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS02

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) | $\frac{9.1+13.6+16.8+9.4}{4}$ | M1 |  |  |
|  | $=12.225$ | A1 | 2 | 12.23 (12.22 ~ 12.23); allow 12.2 |
| (b)(i) | Moving averages plotted in correct position - at least 3 | M1 |  |  |
|  | Accurate plot - by eye | A1 |  |  |
| (ii) | Trend line | B1 | 3 | Allow reasonable line even if moving averages incorrect |
| (c)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1 effect: } \frac{(9.1-11.6)+(11.5-13.5)}{2} \\ & =-2.25 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Method for seasonal effect - either ignore sign, allow use of 3 Qs |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q4 effect: } \frac{(8.9-11.2)+(9.4-13.0)}{2} \\ & =-2.95 \end{aligned}$ | m1 A1 |  | Method for both - ignore sign $(-2.2 \sim-2.5) \text { and }(-2.6 \sim-3.1)$ |
| (ii) | Prediction for $\text { Q1, 2007: } \quad 15.4-2.25=13$ | M1 |  | Prediction of moving average from their (reasonable) trend line |
|  | Q4, 2007: $16.8-2.95=14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 13 (12.9~13.3) and 14 ( $13.5 \sim 14.1$ ) disallow if more than 3 sf given NMS: one answer in range B1 both answers in range B3 |
| (d)(i) | Accurate plot - by eye | B1 |  |  |
| (ii) | Q2 (Charlie) and Q3 (Eddie) should be well above trend line, but both are below trend line. (Harry and Annie are below trend line as expected.) | E1 E1 |  | Comment based on seasonal variation <br> Correct explanation |
| (iii) | Harry slightly ( 0.5 tonnes) above prediction, Annie above ( 1.5 tonnes) prediction. Choose Annie. | E1 B1 | 5 | Explanation <br> Choose Annie |
|  | Total |  | 16 |  |

SS02 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(a)(i) | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}(X)= & 120 \times 0.22+80 \times 0.28+ \\ & 75 \times 0.12+30 \times 0.38=69.2 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Method for $\mathrm{E}(X)$; AG |
| (ii) | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)= & 120^{2} \times 0.22+80^{2} \times 0.28+ \\ & 75^{2} \times 0.12+30^{2} \times 0.38=5977 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Method for $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)$ - may be implied |
|  | $\mathrm{V}(X)=5977-69.2^{2}=1188.36$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} 1 \\ & \mathrm{~m} 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | Method for variance <br> Method for s.d. - dependent on previous 3 marks |
|  | s.d. $=£ 34.50$ | A1 | 5 | 34.50 (34.45 ~ 35.5) - ignore units |
| (b) | $\frac{69.2 \times 400}{120}=230.7$ | M1 |  |  |
|  | 231 full members needed | A1 | 2 | CAO |
| (c) | No junior members bad for future of club. May be less than 231 applications for full membership. | E1 | 1 | Any sensible reason |
|  | Total |  | 8 |  |
| 3(a) | 1023000 | B2 | 2 | B1 for 1023 |
| (b) | N.Ireland $>$ Scotland $>$ Wales at each election | E1 |  | Any valid comparison of $\%$ in different countries |
|  | 2003 election less than 1998/9 (about 5\% less in N.I., 8 or $9 \%$ less in Wales and Scotland) | E1 |  | Any valid comparison of $\%$ in different years |
|  | All less than 70\% | E1 | 3 | Complete answer |
| (c)(i) | Welsh assembly 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Any valid calculation - may be implied Welsh assembly 2003 |
| (ii) | Labour | B1 | 3 |  |
| (d) | Welsh assembly $\frac{2230000}{60}=37200$ Scottish parliament $\frac{3879000}{129}=30100$ | M1 |  | Method of calculation |
|  | N.I. assembly $\frac{1098000}{108}=10200$ | A1 |  | All correct 3sf |
|  | N.Ireland has many less electors per member than Wales or Scotland. | E1 | 3 | Any sensible comment - method mark not essential |
|  | Total |  | 11 |  |

SS02 (cont)


SS02 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=40$ | B1 |  | One hypothesis correct |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq 40$ | B1 |  | Both hypotheses correct - must use $\mu$ or state 'population' |
|  | $\bar{x}=47.56$ |  |  |  |
|  | 47.56-40 | M1 |  | Use of (their s.d.) $/ \sqrt{ } 9$ |
|  | $z=\frac{41.50-40}{17 / \sqrt{9}}=1.33$ | m1 |  | Correct method for $z$ - ignore sign |
|  | Critical values $\pm 1.96$ | B1 |  | Ignore sign |
|  | Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}-$ no significant evidence that mean time to deal with queries differs | A1 $\checkmark$ |  | ft conclusion - must be compared with upper tail of $z$ |
|  | from 40 seconds | A1 $\checkmark$ | 8 | ft conclusion in context requires M1m1A1 $\checkmark$ |
| (b)(i) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=40 \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu<40$ | B1 |  | Both - don't penalise same mistake twice |
|  | $z=\frac{35-40}{12 / \sqrt{120}}=-4.56$ | M1 |  | Method for $z$ - ignore sign |
|  | $\text { c.v. }-1.6449$ | B1 |  | Ignore sign; $-1.64 \sim-1.65$ |
|  | Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ - significant evidence that mean time to deal with queries is less than 40 seconds | A1 $\checkmark$ | 5 | Conclusion in context - must compare lower tail of $z$ |
| (b)(ii) | Queries were a random sample | B1 | 1 | Random |
| (c) | Training appears to have reduced mean time to deal with queries and also to have | E1 |  | Mean reduced |
|  | reduced variability. Mean may now be too small to deal with queries adequately. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1 } \\ & \text { E1 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Variability reduced <br> Mean may now be too small - context required for full marks |
|  | Total |  | 17 |  |
|  | TOTAL |  | 75 |  |

