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## Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

| M | mark is for method |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |  |  |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |  |  |

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

MFP4

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) (b) | Rotation about the $y$-axis through $\cos ^{-1} 0.8$ <br> Reflection in $y=x$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \text { A1 } \\ \\ \text { M1A1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2$ | Ignore direction <br> or $\sin ^{-1} 0.6$ or $36.87^{\circ}$ or $0.644^{\text {c }}$ <br> Ignore if it is called a line |
|  | Total |  | 5 |  |
| 2(a)(i) | a.b $=0$ | B1 | 1 |  |
| (ii) | $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 \end{array}\right\|=\left[\begin{array}{c} -16 \\ 11 \\ -1 \end{array}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ | 2 |  |
| (iii) | $\mathbf{a} \cdot(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c})=\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 4 & 28 \end{array}\right\|=0$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ | 2 | or via $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) . \mathbf{c} \mathrm{ft}$ in this case <br> Do not allow $=0$ via (a)(i) |
| (b)(i) | $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ mutually perpendicular | B1 | 1 |  |
| (ii) | a, b, c co-planar | B1 | 1 |  |
|  | Total |  | 7 |  |
| 3(a) | Area invariant $\Rightarrow \text { Determinant }=1 \Rightarrow p r+q^{2}=1$ | M1 | 2 | MUST mention area Given answer justified |
| (b)(i) | $\left[\begin{array}{cc} 4 & q \\ -q & r \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 2 \end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ -1 \end{array}\right]$ | M1 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow 2 q-4=2 \text { and } q+2 r=-1 \\ & \Rightarrow q=3 \text { and } r=-2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | Either correct |
| (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & x^{\prime}=4 x+3 y \text { and } y^{\prime}=-3 x-2 y \\ & \text { Setting } x^{\prime}=x, y^{\prime}=y \\ & y=-x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |  |
|  | Alternative for (b)(ii): <br> Setting $\lambda=1$ <br> $\Rightarrow 3 x+3 y=0$ (etc) ie $y=-x$ | (M2) <br> (A1) | (3) |  |
|  | Total |  | 8 |  |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Q \& Solution \& Marks \& Total \& Comments <br>
\hline 7(a)(i) \& $$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}^{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
4 & -3 & 3 \\
3 & -2 & 3 \\
3 & -3 & 4
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{M}^{2}+2 \mathbf{I} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
4 & -3 & 3 \\
3 & -2 & 3 \\
3 & -3 & 4
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
6 & -3 & 3 \\
3 & 0 & 3 \\
3 & -3 & 6
\end{array}\right]=3 \mathbf{M}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& M1
A1

A1 \& 3 \& ie $k=3$ <br>

\hline (ii) \& Multiplying by $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ to get $\mathbf{M}+2 \mathbf{M}^{-1}=3 \mathbf{I}$ so that $\mathbf{M}^{-1}=\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{I}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M1 } \\
& \text { A1 } \\
& \text { A1 }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 3 \& ft ie $a=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $b=\frac{3}{2}$ <br>

\hline (b)(i) \& | Char. eqn. is $\lambda^{3}-4 \lambda^{2}$ $+5 \lambda-2=0$ |
| :--- |
| ie $(\lambda-2)(\lambda-1)^{2}=0$ |
| giving $\lambda_{1}=1$ (twice) and $\lambda_{2}=2$ | \& | M1A1 |
| :--- |
| A1A1 |
| M1 |
| A1 | \& 6 \& One A mark for each of the other coefficients Good factorisation attempt <br>


\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(ii)} \& | $\lambda=1 \Rightarrow x-y+z=0 \text { (thrice) }$ |
| :--- |
| Any two independent eigenvectors $\begin{aligned} & \text { (eg) } \alpha\left[\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right]+\beta\left[\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right] \\ & \lambda=2 \Rightarrow-y+z=0 \end{aligned}$ | \& | B1 |
| :--- |
| M1 |
| A1 | \& \& Attempted <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\\
\gamma\left[\begin{array}{l}
x-2 y+z=0 \quad \\
x-y=0 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$ \& M1

A1 \& 5 \& <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{(iii)} \& For $\lambda=1$, eigenvectors represent a plane of invariant points \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M1 } \\
& \text { A1 }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& Plane <br>

\hline \& For $\lambda=2$, eigenvectors represent an invariant line \& B1 \& 3 \& <br>
\hline \& Total \& \& 20 \& <br>
\hline \& TOTAL \& \& 75 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

